

From: Ghormley, Randy -FS
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2012 11:56 AM
To: Malecek, Thomas -FS
Cc: McDonald, Peter M -FS; Warren, Nancy -FS
Subject: RE: WCV Proponent Requests Meeting during the Week of July 9-13 - Attorney/Client Privileged Information

Tom – if you want my participation in this I would request Friday the 13th (is that ominous or what). I would like to try to avoid the 9th or 10th. However given the critical nature of this discussion and since Nancy will no longer be available to provide support I may have to adjust my schedule and I recognize that.

Peter – perhaps you’ll be the lucky one to take on the RO role after Nancy’s departure.

From: Malecek, Thomas -FS
Sent: Sunday, June 17, 2012 6:33 PM
To: Dallas, Dan -FS; susan_linner@fws.gov; Patty_Gelatt@fws.gov
Cc: Warren, Nancy -FS; McDonald, Peter M -FS; Ghormley, Randy -FS; Dyer, Harold D -FS; O'Connell, Michele M -FS; Wehrli, Christopher L -FS; Rinella, Steven -FS; Malecek, Thomas -FS; **Capps, Kenneth - OGC**
Subject: WCV Proponent Requests Meeting during the Week of July 9-13 - Attorney/Client Privileged Information

Following our conf call on June 7 regarding our Section 7/10 discussions regarding the Wolf Creek Village land exchange, and after a follow-up with OGC intern Ted Hewitt, **I decided to call the proponent’s rep rather than put an official letter out re-affirming our stance that we relayed in my letter to Clint Jones on March 1.**

Following that call, as an Applicant, the Proponent has requested a meeting with the FS and FWS any time/day during the week of July 9-13, as they would like to discuss the decision – that the FS considered the Mineral County letter but will not move the land exchange through Section 7 and is directing the proponent to meet with FWS and begin the HCP process/Section 10. Patty wont be back until June 26, so we may need to wait till then to pick an appropriate date and location. **The proponent has indicated they will have an attorney at the meeting; also that they may consult with Undersecretary Sherman prior to the meeting.**

I appreciate any input so that I may get back to the proponent sometime this next week. Thanks.....

Tom Malecek
Divide District Ranger
Rio Grande NF
(719) 657-6007; cell 850-2356

Malecek, Thomas -FS

From: Malecek, Thomas -FS
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2012 4:00 PM
To: Kurtz, Kathy -FS; Dyer, Harold D -FS; Dallas, Dan -FS
Cc: Rinella, Steven -FS; Blakeman, Mike -FS; Ghormley, Randy -FS; Schaefer, Julie -FS; Wehrli, Christopher L -FS
Subject: RE: Meeting with RF 6/26 3-4 pm
Importance: High

Thanks Kathy. DAN, if you have anything you want to make sure gets in this paper, pls let Dave and I know! DAVE, if you aren't heading out on the field trip tomorrow, appreciate if you can start fleshing this out, especially since its due on Thursday and I will be taking off Wednesday pm, Thursday and Friday..... To me, there are 5 key points (I started this email with 3) I would like to make. 1. The project was initiated with a land exchange in the mid 80's and this is the third attempt at an eis since 2004. 2. This time around it is a land exchange proposal, one that Salazar touted, and the Proponent has complicated it by insisting on an Anilca alternative. 3. We are currently reviewing the draft eis, with both Forest and RO reviewers commenting on the contracted work. 4. We are right now at a critical juncture with the Proponent and FWS over Consultation, with the Proponent insisting Section 7 all the way and the FS telling them they need to do a HCP with FWS and Section 10 consultation... **A meeting with both agencies and the proponents, and each parties attorneys, is planned in early July, with the Proponent planning to discuss with Undersecretary Sherman prior to that meeting.** 5. The Village at Wolf Creek, if initiated, would be the highest elevation resort town (or town of any sort) in North America...(well, maybe Alma has it beat by a hundred feet)...

The powerpoint can include some of the plans/drawings/pics included in the draft eis.

Mike/Randy/Julie/Steve/Chris, anything you feel we should add to this briefing paper?

Dave, since we have an hour, I think the briefing can go over one page, at least for our discussion, but try and limit it to 2.

Steve, since you are familiar with both subjects – WCV and 30 Mile resort, do you think a short briefing on 30 mile is warranted? Do you Dan?? I ask this in light of the Perlmutter congressional and that it came thru the Secretary's office, also,, that the decision to take over ownership of pvt property (from a little old blind lady that lives only a mile or so from the RO), is one they wouldn't want to be blindsided by.....

Appreciate any input on how best to present the briefing.....thanks

Tom Malecek
Divide District Ranger
Rio Grande NF
(719) 657-6007; cell 850-2356

From: Kurtz, Kathy -FS
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2012 2:15 PM
To: Malecek, Thomas -FS; Dyer, Harold D -FS
Cc: Rinella, Steven -FS
Subject: RE: Meeting with RF 6/26 3-4 pm